

## Parameterization of the statistical rate function

I. S. Towner and J. C. Hardy

In precision work with superallowed beta decay the integral over the phase space, customarily denoted as  $f$ , is required to be evaluated with an accuracy of 0.1%. For this, the electron wave function needs to be determined with comparable precision, which is accomplished [1] by solving the Dirac equation, exactly but numerically, for the emerging electron moving in the Coulomb field of the nuclear charge distribution. The full expression for the computation of  $f$  is

$$f = \xi R(W_0) \int_1^{W_0} pW(W_0 - W)^2 F(Z, W) f_i(W) Q(Z, W) r(Z, W) dW, \quad (1)$$

where  $W$  is the electron total energy in electron rest-mass units,  $W_0$  is the maximum value of  $W$ ,  $p = (W^2 - 1)^{1/2}$  is the electron momentum,  $Z$  is the charge number of the daughter nucleus (positive for electron emission, negative for positron emission),  $F(Z, W)$  is the Fermi function and  $f_i(W)$  is the shape-correction function as defined by Holstein [2] (but with kinematic recoil corrections omitted). Further,  $Q(Z, W)$  is a screening correction for which we use the analytic prescription of Rose [3] and  $r(Z, W)$  is an atomic overlap correction described in [4]. The kinematic recoil correction that Holstein includes in  $f_i(W)$  is here written separately as  $R(W_0)$ :

$$R(W_0) = 1 - \frac{3W_0}{2M_A}, \quad (2)$$

where  $M_A$  is the average of the initial and final nuclear masses expressed in electron rest-mass units. Last, for allowed transitions it is customary to remove from  $f$  the leading nuclear matrix element contained in the shape-correction function,  $f_i(W)$ . Thus we have introduced  $\xi$  in Eq. (1), where  $\xi = 1/|\mathcal{M}_F|^2$  for superallowed Fermi transitions,  $\mathcal{M}_F$  being the Fermi matrix element. For pure Gamow-Teller transitions,  $\xi = 1/|g_A \mathcal{M}_{GT}|^2$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{GT}$  being the Gamow-Teller matrix element and  $g_A$  the axial-vector coupling constant.

Our goal is to parameterize  $f$  and present tables of the fitting parameters for transitions of interest. For this, we have computed  $f$  for 100 values of  $W_0$  taken over a range of  $\pm 60$  keV around the transition Q-value. Our aim in fitting these 100 values is to achieve an accuracy of 0.01%, a factor of ten more precise than required. It is convenient to factor  $f$  into two contributions:

$$f = f_0(1 + \delta_S), \quad (3)$$

$$f_0 = \int_1^{W_0} pW(W_0 - W)^2 F(Z, W) Q(Z, W) r(Z, W) dW, \quad (4)$$

$$\delta_S = (f - f_0)/f_0 \quad (5)$$

The purpose of the factorization is to place the role of the shape-correction function  $f_1(W)$  entirely within the correction term  $\delta_S$ , which is typically of the order of a few percent. The shape-correction function depends on nuclear matrix elements and differs for Fermi and Gamow-Teller transitions. So this piece of the calculation is somewhat less certain, being nuclear-structure dependent, but being small its accuracy is less critical.

For  $f_0$ , we choose a fitting function with four parameters,  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ , of the same form as would be obtained analytically in the  $Z = 0$  limit:

$$f_0 = a_0 W_0^4 p_0 + a_1 W_0^2 p_0 + a_2 p_0 + a_3 W_0 \ln(W_0 + p_0), \quad (6)$$

where  $p_0 = (W_0^2 - 1)^{1/2}$ . In fitting 100 values of  $f_0$  it was found that four parameters could not be determined with the required accuracy. Thus it was decided to fix the coefficients of the two smallest terms,  $a_2$  and  $a_3$ , to their  $Z = 0$  values, namely  $a_2 = -2/15$  and  $a_3 = 1/4$ , and use the fitting to determine  $a_0$  and  $a_1$ . This procedure yielded the required accuracy.

For the correction  $\delta_S$  we again choose a four-parameter fitting function:

$$\delta_S = b_0 + b_1 W_0 + \frac{b_2}{W_0} + b_3 W_0^2, \quad (7)$$

where, for a Fermi transition, approximate values of the coefficients can be derived from Holstein's expressions [2] for the shape-correction function  $f_1(W)$ : namely

$$\begin{aligned} b_0^F &\simeq \frac{2}{5} R^2 + \frac{61}{630} (\alpha Z)^2, \\ b_1^F &\simeq -\frac{6}{7} (\alpha Z) R - \frac{3}{2M_A}, \\ b_2^F &\simeq -\frac{9}{7} (\alpha Z) R, \\ b_3^F &\simeq -\frac{1}{7} R^2, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where  $R$  is the nuclear radius in electron Compton-wavelength units. The exactly computed value of  $\delta_S$  in Eq. (5) is fitted by the expression in Eq. (7) to obtain parameters  $b_0$ ,  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$  and  $b_3$ . Again, it was found that four parameters could not be determined with the required accuracy. So coefficients  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  were fixed at the values given in Eq. (8) and the fitting used to determine  $b_0$  and  $b_1$ .

For Gamow-Teller transitions, approximate expressions for the fitting parameters were derived in Towner's report for the summer of 2013 [5] and are

$$\begin{aligned} b_0^{GT} &\simeq \frac{2}{15} R^2 + \frac{1}{15} R^2 x + \frac{1}{3} \beta(\alpha Z) [\pm 2\bar{b} + \bar{d}] + \frac{61}{630} (\alpha Z)^2, \\ b_1^{GT} &\simeq -\frac{26}{35} (\alpha Z) R - \frac{1}{35} (\alpha Z) R x + \frac{1}{3} R \bar{d} - \frac{3}{2M_A}, \\ b_2^{GT} &\simeq -\frac{9}{7} (\alpha Z) R - \frac{5}{6} R [\pm 2\bar{b} + \bar{d}], \end{aligned}$$

$$b_3^{GT} \simeq -\frac{11}{105} R^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{11} x\right), \quad (9)$$

where

$$x = -\sqrt{10} \mathcal{M}_{1y} / \mathcal{M}_{\sigma r^2}, \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{b} = \frac{1}{MR} \left[ \frac{g_M}{g_A} + \frac{\mathcal{M}_L}{\mathcal{M}_{GT}} \right], \quad (11)$$

$$\bar{d} = \frac{1}{MR} \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\sigma L}}{\mathcal{M}_{GT}}, \quad (12)$$

and  $\beta \simeq 6/5$ ,  $g_M = 4.706$  and  $M$  the nucleon mass in electron rest-mass units. The nuclear matrix elements are defined in Eq. (68) of [2]. Schematically, they are written:  $\mathcal{M}_{GT} = \langle \sigma \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma r^2} = \langle r^2 \sigma \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{1y} = (16\pi/5)^{1/2} \langle r^2 [Y_2 \times \sigma] \rangle$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_L = \langle L \rangle$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma L} = \langle \sigma \times L \rangle$ . Note that the matrix element  $\mathcal{M}_{\sigma L}$ , and hence  $\bar{d}$ , vanishes in diagonal matrix elements as would occur in a mirror transition between isobaric analogue states.

Again in fitting exact values of  $\delta_5$  with the expression Eq. (7) parameters  $b_2$  and  $b_3$  were held fixed at values given in Eq. (9) and parameters  $b_0$  and  $b_1$  varied in the fit. Tables of the fitted parameters for the superallowed Fermi transitions and for mixed Fermi and Gamow-Teller transitions that occur in mirror transitions between isospin  $T = 1/2$  analogue states are given in Towner's summer report [5] and will be published.

[1] J.C. Hardy and I.S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C **71**, 055501 (2005).

[2] B.R. Holstein, Rev. Mod. Phys. **46**, 789 (1974); erratum, Rev. Mod. Phys. **48**, 673 (1976).

[3] M.E. Rose, Phys. Rev. **49**, 727 (1936).

[4] J.C. Hardy and I.S. Towner, Phys. Rev. C **79**, 055502 (2009).

[5] I.S. Towner, *Parameterizations useful in nuclear beta decay*, private communication.